

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/918,258	PACKWOOD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sumati Lefkowitz	2112

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Sumati Lefkowitz.

(3) _____.

(2) Roger A. Heppermann (#37,641).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 May 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2, 3 14, 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was informed that a reference in support of the independent claims had been found but that the incorporation of the above cited claims into the independent claims would place the case into condition for allowance. Applicant's representative indicated that a written office action would be preferred. .